Anacostia Waterfront Development Zone (AWDZ) Plan Review Checklist	Sheet #	Yes/No	Comments
1 Has the applicant completed the Regulated Anacostia Waterfront Development Zone (AWDZ) Site form?			
2 Does the plan use the correct regulatory event to calculate the SWRv? ☐ Major Substantial Improvement Activity - SWRv based on the 85th percentile rainfall depth (1.0 inch) ☐ Major Land Disturbing Activity - SWRv based on the 90th percentile rainfall depth (1.2 inch) [Figure 2.3 *Determining the regulatory event used to calculate the SWRv*, page 15]			
3 Is 100% of the retention obligation (SWRv) contained on site? If yes, skip 4 and 5 below.			
4 Is there an application for relief from extraordinarily difficult site conditions to manage all or a portion of the SWRv offsite? See 11 and 12 below for "how to evaluate application." This is the same as the "Relief from Extraordinarily Difficult Site Conditions" section at the end of Chapters 2 and 5 Stormwater Management Review Checklist. [Figure 2.5 *Determining if overall retention and water quality treatment requirements have been met inside the AWDZ*, page 17]			
5 Has DDOE approved the application for relief from extraordinarily difficult site conditions to meet all or a portion of the SWRv offsite? If no, stop. Request a redesign or new justification from the applicant.			
6 Is 100 % of the water quality obligation (WQTv) being met onsite by managing the 95th percentile rainfall depth (1.7 inches) through retention? If yes, check method in 8 and skip 9–12 below. [Figure 2.5 *Determining if overall retention and water quality treatment requirements have been met inside the AWDZ*, page 17]			
8 If treatment is used to meet the site's water quality obligation, confirm either, ☐ Design based on "accepted TSS treatment Practices" (Chapter 3) ☐ Design based on NJDEP certified Manufactured Treatment Devices (MTD) that remove 80% total suspended solids (TSS) in Appendix S			
9 Is there an application for relief from extraordinarily difficult site conditions to manage all, or a portion of, the WQTv offsite? See 11 & 12 below for "how to evaluate application". This is the same as the "Relief from Extraordinarily Difficult Site Conditions" section at the end of Chapters 2 & 5 Stormwater Management Review Checklist. [Figure 2.5 *Determining if overall retention and water quality treatment requirements have been met inside the AWDZ*, page 17]			

	Anacostia Waterfront Development Zone (AWDZ) Plan Review Checklist	Sheet #	Yes/No	Comments
10	Has DDOE approved the application for relief from extraordinarily difficult site conditions to meet all or a portion of the WQTv offsite? If no, stop. Request a redesign or new justification from the applicant.			
11	A Relief Request Memo can be made in advance of the final SWMP but not before the 65% design stage of the SWMP. Is this condition met? [Appendix E Submission Requirements, page E-2]			
12	Does the Relief Request Memo contain the following? ☐ Detailed explanation of each opportunity for on-site installation of retention BMPs that was considered and rejected and the reason for rejection ☐ Address each BMP practice specified in the Stormwater Management Guidebook ☐ Completed application and proof of payment for applicable fees [Appendix E Submission Requirements, page E-2]			
13	Does the site evaluate and choose stormwater BMPs based on the order of preference listed below? If not, redesign based on the BMP order of preference. ☐ Vegetated controls designed to retain and beneficially use stormwater ☐ Non-vegetated controls designed to promote infiltration (where compatible with groundwater protection) ☐ Other low impact development practices ☐ Collection and reuse of stormwater for on-site irrigation ☐ Other on-site design methods or practices [DC Code 2-1226.36]			
14	Does the plan completely restrict development along both sides of the Anacostia River and along associated waterways, unless determined by DDOE to be infeasible? [DC Code 2-1226.38 (9)]			
15	Is the project designed to ensure continued public access to the Anacostia River and associated waterways and to the Anacostia Riverwalk and trail system? [DC Code 2-1226.38 (1)]			
16	Does the project include continuous publicly accessible trails that comply with the Anacostia Riverparks Plan and Riverwalk Design Guidelines? [DC Code 2-1226.38 (9)]			

Anacostia Waterfront Development Zone (AWDZ) Plan Review Checklist	Sheet #	Yes/No	Comments
17	Are existing public parks preserved? If the project encroaches on a public park, does the project meet the following requirements? ☐ The encroachment is mitigated in kind at a minimum acreage ratio of at least 1-to-1 ☐ The mitigation is equal or greater quality than the park that is lost [DC Code 2-1226.38 (2)]			
18	Does the construction or development maintain delineated wetlands and land within 100 feet of delineated wetlands? If yes, skip Step 19. If not, both the US Army Corps of Engineers and DDOE must agree that construction in wetland areas cannot reasonably be avoided. [DC Code 2-1226.38 (3)]			
19	Do any impacts to wetlands meet the following requirements? ☐ Mitigation in kind at a minimum acreage ratio of 3-to-1 ☐ Mitigation provided on site, unless on-site locations are unavailable or infeasible as determined by both DDOE and the US Army Corps of Engineers ☐ Preference for mitigation should be given to restoring degraded wetlands or recreating former wetlands, not creating new wetlands ☐ On-site remaining wetlands and buffers that are not impacted and off-site mitigation areas shall be permanently protected [DC Code 2-1226.38 (3)]			
20	Are streams that were diverted into pipes or other constructed conveyances daylighted unless determined by DDOE to be infeasible? [DC Code 2-1226.38 (4)]			
21	Does the plan ensure protection or creation of woodland and meadow riparian buffer zones along each bank of the Anacostia River, defined in the Anacostia Waterfront Initiative Framework Plan (https://www.scribd.com/doc/92302048/The-Anacostia-Waterfront-Framework-Plan-2003), between 50 and 300 feet along the main channel of the Anacostia River, except where necessary to ensure public access and use of the waterfront? [DC Code 2-1226.38 (5)]			
22	Has the project incorporated planted vegetated buffers within the right-of-way of all roadways? [DC Code 2-1226.38 (7)]			
23	Do all roadways comply with the DDOT Anacostia Waterfront Transportation Architecture Design Standards? (https://comp.ddot.dc.gov/Documents/Anacostia%20Waterfront%20Transportation%20Architecture%20Design%20Guidelines.pdf) [DC Code 2-1226.38 (6)]			

Anacostia Waterfront Development Zone (AWDZ) Plan Review Checklist	Sheet #	Yes/No	Comments
24	Does the project provide sufficient tree planting to meet canopy coverage within 20 years of project occupancy of 30% of non-roof impervious surfaces and 40% of overall non-roof surfaces within the project area? [DC Code 2-1226.38 (8)]			
25	Does the submission include an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) Plan? [Figure R.1 *Sample form for an Integrated Pest Management Plan*, page 3]			
26	Is the project habitat restoration activity consistent with DDOE's Wildlife Action Plan, and has the applicant coordinated habitat restoration with Damien Ossi of DDOE's Fisheries and Wildlife Division? (http://green.dc.gov/publication/wildlife-action-plan)? [DC Code 2-1226.38 (10)]			
27	If the project includes a marina, does the marina comply with the Clean Marina Guidebook issued by the National Park Service by including the following? (http://www.concessions.nps.gov/docs/concessioner%20tools/National_Clean_Marina_Initiative_2012.pdf?: ☐ Clean Marina Checklist ☐ Clean Marina supporting documentation [DC Code 2-1226.37]			