CHAPTER 2 TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

TO: File

THROUGH: Stephen S. Ours, P.E.  
Chief, Permitting Branch

FROM: Olivia Achuko  
Environmental Engineer

SUBJECT: Jairadekrishna, LLC, dba Jindal Andre Automotive Services  
Permit No. 7155  
Permit to Operate a Paint Spray Booth at 1636 Bladensburg Road NE

DATE: July 28, 2017

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A permit application to operate an existing paint spray booth at the Jairadekrishna, LLC, dba Jindal Andre Automotive Services facility located at 1636 Bladensburg Road NE, Washington DC 20002, was received by the Air Quality Division (AQD) on December 9, 2015. A revised application was received May 26, 2016, following the revision of the Air quality regulation pertaining to motor vehicle and mobile equipment non-assembly line coating operations. The application is to operate a Standard Tools and Equipment Co. cross flow paint spray booth, model ECF-1000. The facility previously had a permit (#5726) under another company, Services Unlimited, Inc. (though listed in the permit log as "Yellow Cab Enterprises") that appears to have been issued on or about July 18, 2005, but has since expired. No record of a more recent renewal was found. Since the regulation has been completely rewritten and a new company is applying for the permit, a completely new permit will be issued rather than renewing the old permit.

In the May 26, 2016 application, the exhaust stack design shown to exist at the site did not meet the requirements of the new regulation found in 20 DCMR 718.19, so the applicant was informed by a letter dated May 16, 2017 that the stack must be re-designed before a permit could be issued. On July 11, 2017, an email was received by Stephen Ours from Sanjay Jindal with an attachment showing a new stack design that meets the regulatory requirements. Construction of this redesigned stack is permitted under the conditions of this draft permit.

AQD has determined that the facility is an existing source under the District of Columbia's newly promulgated (final December 1, 2016) "Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Non-Assembly Line Coating Operations Regulations" (20 DCMR 718). The new regulation is applicable to both new and existing sources.
According to their application, this company started operations as an auto body paint shop at the site on January 2, 2011, but painting operations at the site actually began on May 9, 2005 under different ownership. Because the facility was actively engaged in surface coating prior to September 17, 2007, pursuant to 20 DCMR 63.11171, the facility is considered and existing source with respect to 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHH, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Paint Stripping and Miscellaneous Surface Coating Operations at Area Sources.

This permit action will be published in the DC Register on August 11, 2017. Public comments for the permit action will be solicited through September 11, 2017.

The applicant has not requested that any of the materials submitted with this application be held confidential.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION

The equipment at this site includes one cross flow paint spray booth model ECF-1000 which is used for full body auto painting, sanding, priming and other auto refinishing operations. There will be no use of methylene chloride (MeCl) at this facility. The company intends to use high volume low pressure (HVLP) spray guns and a fully enclosed spray gun cleaning system that is kept closed when not in use.

As noted above, at the time of submittal of the revised permit application, received May 26, 2016, the stack configuration did not comply with 20 DCMR 718.19 because the upward flow of air was obstructed by a stack cap. The revised design, submitted by email on July 11, 2017 corrects this deficiency and its construction is to be permitted via this permitting action.

This facility is an existing source under 40 CFR 63, as discussed above, therefore the compliance date for 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHH was January 10, 2011.

It is difficult to accurately estimate emissions from an automotive paint spray booth due to the variations of coatings, job sizes, etc. that occur in a typical autobody paint shop. However, in order to determine a reasonable estimate for purposes of this evaluation, AQD referenced EPA’s “Technical Support Document for Potential to Emit Guidance memo. Documentation of Emission Calculations” [Tim Smith, USEPA/OAQPS, April 1998]. Using an average VOC content of 3.5 pounds per gallon, this document estimates 4.8 pounds of VOCs could be emitted per average job. This document also estimates that a single paint booth could be used for no more than 25 jobs per week. Based on these estimates, AQD calculated potential emissions from the single paint booth of 3.12 tons per year of VOCs.
REGULATORY REVIEW

20 DCMR Chapter 2, Section 200: General Permit Requirements
An auto body spray paint booth is a potential air pollution source because most auto body paints, coatings, and solvents contain volatile organic compounds that are emitted upon use. Thus a Chapter 2 permit is required.

20 DCMR Chapter 6, Section 606: Visible Emissions
The visible emissions limitations of 20 DCMR 606 are applicable to this facility. Proper operation of the equipment would preclude any visible emissions from being emitted into the outdoor atmosphere from the operation of the paint/spray booth and other equipment at the facility. This more stringent requirement (required by 20 DCMR 201) is contained in Condition II(i).

20 DCMR Chapter 7: Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) Emissions Reduction
The requirements of 20 DCMR 700 were not included in the permit as they are not applicable when 20 DCMR 718 is applicable.

The facility is regulated under the newly revised 20 DCMR 718 which is the District’s primary regulation for controlling air emissions from automotive painting operations. The requirements of this regulation have been included in the permit.

This memorandum will not cover all the detailed requirements of this regulation as they are extensive and make up the majority of the permit. However, highlights of the regulatory requirements include the following:

- Limits on allowable VOC content in coatings and solvents [Conditions II(b) and (c)];
- Limits on the coating methods and spray guns allowed [Conditions III(a) and (d) and related conditions];
- Emission point (stack) discharge height and exhaust velocity requirements [Condition III(c)] (primarily intended to reduce odor at ground level);
- Paint booth and filter specifications [Condition III(e)]; and
- Housekeeping and training requirements [Condition III(f)].

For all of these, appropriate monitoring, testing, and record keeping requirements have been included in the permit to ensure that compliance status can be determined.

20 DCMR Chapter 9, Section 903: Odorous or Other Nuisance Air Pollutants
"An emission into the atmosphere of odorous or other air pollutants from any source in any quantity and of any characteristic, and duration which is, or is likely to be injurious to the public health or welfare, or which interferes with the reasonable enjoyment of life or property is prohibited [20 DCMR 903.1]" is applicable to all sources. This requirement is contained in Condition II(h) of the permit. Many of the other conditions of the permit, especially many of
those brought into the permit pursuant to 20 DCMR 718 are intended, at least in part, to reduce detectable odors. Should odors be problematic despite these requirements, Condition I(g), included in the permit pursuant to 20 DCMR 718.18, allows the Department to require the installation of additional control devices as necessary to ensure compliance.

20 DCMR Chapter 14, Section 1409: Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Paint Stripping and Miscellaneous Surface Coating Operations at Area Sources

This regulation adopts 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHHH by reference. Please see the discussion of 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHHH below in “Other Regulations”.

Other Regulations:

40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHHH, “National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Paint Stripping and Miscellaneous Surface Coating Operations at Area Sources” (also known as the “Auto Body NESHAP”) is applicable to the facility. The rule applies to all motor vehicle and mobile equipment surface coating operations that paint with hazardous air pollutants (HAPs), including the collision repair industry. The compliance date for “existing” facilities such as this one was January 10, 2011. Many of the operational requirements of this regulation are similar to those of 20 DCMR 718. In some cases, 20 DCMR 718 references 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHHH.

To address the applicability of this regulation, it is indicated in the application that chemical paint strippers containing methylene chloride are not used at the site. Thus, the requirements for paint stripping are generally not applicable in this case. Condition II(a) of the permit was developed to ensure that no methylene chloride containing strippers are used at the facility.

The paint spray booth must meet the design requirements of 40 CFR 63.11173(e)(2)(ii). These requirements were included in the permit as Condition III(e)(3).

Condition III(e)(1) was written to allow the facility to use only exhaust filters with 98% or higher capture efficiency per 40 CFR 63.11173(e)(2)(i).

Condition III(a) of the permit was written to ensure compliance with the paint application technique specifications in both 20 DCMR 718.11 and 40 CFR 63.11173(e)(3). Similarly, the spray gun requirements of Condition III(d) were written to ensure compliance with 40 CFR 63.11173(e)(4) and 20 DCMR 718.15.

The training requirements of 40 CFR 63.11173(e)(1), (f), and (g) were included in the permit as Condition III(g).

All applicable record keeping requirements were included in Condition V of the permit. It should be noted that all records will be required to be kept for five years, rather than three per 20 DCMR 500.8, due to the more stringent five year requirement in 40 CFR 63.11178, the similar
requirement in 20 DCMR 718.23, and the difficulty of having two different document retention policies for different sets of records.

The notification and reporting requirements of 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHHHHH are contained in Conditions VI(a) through (c).

RECOMMENDATIONS

The application to operate the paint spray booth facility (as well as modify the exhaust stack) and the attached operating permit comply with all applicable federal and District air pollution control laws and regulations.

Public comments for the permit action will be solicited from August 11, 2017 through September 11, 2017. AQD will resolve any comments received before taking any final action on the permit. If no adverse comments are received, I recommend that permit No. 7155 be issued in accordance with 20 DCMR 200.2 promptly upon the completion of the public review period.
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